1. For $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i & 0 \\ -i & 1 & i \\ 0 & -i & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in M_{3\times 3}(\mathbb{C})$, find a unitary matrix P and a diagonal matrix D such that $P^*AP = D$.

$$f_{A}(t) = \det(A - t \cdot I_{3}) = \begin{cases} |-t| & i & 0 \\ |-i| & |-t| & i \\ |0| & -i & |-t| \end{cases}$$

$$= (1 - t) ((1 - t)^{2} - 1) - i \cdot (-i) (1 - t)$$

$$= (1 - t) ((1 - t)^{2} - 2) = (1 - t) (1 - t + \sqrt{2})$$

$$\lambda_{1} = 1 \quad \lambda_{2} = 1 + \sqrt{2} \quad \lambda_{3} = 1 - \sqrt{2}$$

$$A-\lambda_1 I_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda & 0 \\ -i & 0 & i \\ 0 & -i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$u_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad v_2 = u_1 / ||u_1|| = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot / \sqrt{2}$$

$$A - \lambda_2 \cdot I_3 = \begin{pmatrix} -J_2 & i & 0 \\ -i & -J_2 & i \\ 0 & -i & -J_2 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -J_2 & i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$U_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ \sqrt{2}i \end{pmatrix} \qquad V_2 = U_2 / ||U_2|| = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ \sqrt{2}i \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} / 2$$

$$u_3 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -5 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad v_3 = u_3 / |u_3|| = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -5 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} / 2$$

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 & v_2 & v_3 \end{bmatrix}$$
 is unitary $D = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 \\ \lambda_3 \end{bmatrix}$

2. Sec. 6.5 Q9

9. Let U be a linear operator on a finite-dimensional inner product space V. If ||U(x)|| = ||x|| for all x in some orthonormal basis for V, must U be unitary? Justify your answer with a proof or a counterexample.

Consider $V = \mathbb{R}^2$. $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ $U = L_A$

 $\beta = \{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \}$ is o.n. basis for V.

Then || U(x) ||= ||x|| for x E f.

But $||U((!))|| = 2 + \sqrt{2} = ||(!)||$

So U is not unitary

3. Sec. 6.5 Q19

19. Let W be a finite-dimensional subspace of an inner product space V. By Theorem 6.7 (p. 352) and the exercises of Section 1.3, $V = W \oplus W^{\perp}$. Define U: $V \to V$ by $U(v_1 + v_2) = v_1 - v_2$, where $v_1 \in W$ and $v_2 \in W^{\perp}$. Prove that U is a self-adjoint unitary operator.

$$\forall x, y \in V = W \oplus W^{\perp}$$
. $\exists ! x_1, y_1 \in W \text{ and } ! x_2, y_2 \in W^{\perp}$
such that $x = x_1 + x_2$, $y_1 + y_2$.
Then $U(x) = U(x_1 + x_2) = x_1 - x_2$
 $U(y) = U(y_1 + y_2) = y_1 - y_2$

$$\begin{cases} < u(-1), y > = < x_1 - x_2, y_1 + y_2 > = < x_1, y_1 > - < x_2, y_2 > \\ < x, u(y) > = < x_1 + x_2, y_1 - y_2 > = < x_1, y_1 > - < x_2, y_2 > \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} ||U(4)||^{2} = \langle U(4), U(4) \rangle = \langle \pi_{1} - \pi_{2}, \chi_{1} - \pi_{2} \rangle = \langle \pi_{1}, \pi_{1} \rangle + \langle \pi_{2}, \pi_{2} \rangle \\ ||\pi||^{2} = \langle \chi, \pi \rangle = \langle \chi_{1} + \chi_{2}, \chi_{1} + \chi_{2} \rangle = \langle \chi_{1}, \chi_{1} \rangle + \langle \chi_{2}, \chi_{2} \rangle \end{cases}$$

4. Sec. 6.6 Q4

4. Let W be a finite-dimensional subspace of an inner product space V. Show that if T is the orthogonal projection of V on W, then I-T is the orthogonal projection of V on W^{\perp} .

Then
$$R(T) = W \cdot R(T)^{\perp} = N(T) \cdot R(T) = N(T)^{\perp}$$

$$T(U(v)) = T(v) - T^2(v) = 0$$

•
$$\forall \chi \in N(u)$$
. $U(\chi) = 0 \Rightarrow \chi = T(\chi) \in R(T) = W$
 $S_0 N(u) \subset W$

$$N(n) = M = (M_{\uparrow})_{\uparrow} = K(n)_{\uparrow}$$

- 7. Let T be a normal operator on a finite-dimensional complex inner product space V. Use the spectral decomposition $\lambda_1 \mathsf{T}_1 + \lambda_2 \mathsf{T}_2 + \cdots + \lambda_k \mathsf{T}_k$ of T to prove the following results.
 - (a) If g is a polynomial, then

$$g(\mathsf{T}) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} g(\lambda_i) \mathsf{T}_i.$$

- (b) If $T^n = T_0$ for some n, then $T = T_0$.
- (c) Let U be a linear operator on V. Then U commutes with T if and only if U commutes with each T_i .
- (d) There exists a normal operator U on V such that $U^2 = T$.
- (e) T is invertible if and only if $\lambda_i \neq 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$.
- (f) T is a projection if and only if every eigenvalue of T is 1 or 0.
- (g) $T = -T^*$ if and only if every λ_i is an imaginary number.
- (c) Suppose U commutes with each T_i . Then we have

$$\begin{split} UT &= U\left(\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i T_i\right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i U T_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i T_i U \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i T_i\right) U = TU \end{split}$$

Conversely, suppose U commutes with T. Note that for each T_i , there exists some polynomial g_i such that $g_i(T) = T_i$. Then we have

$$UT_i = Ug_i(T) = g_i(T)U = T_iU.$$

(d) Note that $T_iT_j = \delta_{ij}T_j$ and $T = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_iT_i$. Let

$$U = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}} T_i.$$

Then one can easily check that $U^2 = T$. Since T_i are self-adjoint, that is T_i is normal, thus U is normal, too.

- (e) Note that V is finite-dimensional. So T is invertible if and only if N(T) = 0. But this means 0 is not an eigenvalue of T.
- (f) Suppose T is a projection of V on W along W^{\perp} . Let λ be eigenvalue and $v \in V$ be the corresponding eigenvector. Then there is some $w \in W$ and $y \in W^{\perp}$ such that v = w + y. So, we have

$$w = T(w + y) = \lambda(w + y)$$

 $(1 - \lambda)w = \lambda y.$

This means that λ can only be 1 or 0.